Appln. No. 10/621,409 Amd. dated October 20, 2005 Reply to Office Action of July 21, 2005

REMARKS

The Examiner's action dated April 6, 2005, has been received, and its contents carefully noted.

The indication of allowabilty of claims 5, 6, 8-10 and 12 is noted with appreciation. In order to place the Application in allowable condition, claims 1 and 7 have been amended to include the subject matter of allowable claims 5 and 8, respectively, and claims 5, 8 and 11 have been canceled. It is noted that claims 6, 9 and 10, indicated to be allowable if placed in independent form, are already in that form. Claim 4 is dependent form amended claim 1 and is therefore believed to also be allowable.

Thus, claims 1, 4, 6-10 and 12 are pending.

Thus, the Application now contains only allowed claims and it is therefore requested that the present Amendment be entered and a Notice of Allowance be issued.

Appln. No. 10/621,409 Amd. dated October 20, 2005 Reply to Office Action of July 21, 2005

If the above amendment should not now place the application in condition for allowance, the Examiner is invited to call undersigned counsel to resolve any remaining issues.

Respectfully submitted,

BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C. Attorneys for Applicant

Jay M. Finkelstein

Registration No. 21,082

JMF:dtb

Telephone No.: (202) 628-5197 Facsimile No.: (202) 737-3528 G:\BN\O\Onak\tsuboi7\pto\AMD 200CT05.doc